Published Online: 2025 March 17

Research Article



A Comparative Analysis of Ovarian Reserve Rates Before and After Ectopic Pregnancy Treatment: A Cross-Sectional Analytical Study

Negin Rezavand (1)¹, Parisan Yousefi², Mansour Rezaei (1)³, Maryam Hematti (1)¹, Alireza Kamravamanesh⁴, Mohammad Saleh Seyedzadeh⁵, Kimia Aghaee⁴, Seyedeh Saba Seyedzadeh⁶, Mastaneh Kamravamanesh (1)⁷,*

¹ Clinical Research Development Center, Imam Reza Hospital, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran

- ² Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, School of Medicine, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran
- ³ Department of Biostatistics, School of Health, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran

⁴ School of Paramedical, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran

- ⁵ Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran
- ⁶ Faculty of Science, McMaster University, Hamilton, Canada

⁷ Department of Reproductive Health, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran

*Corresponding Author: Department of Reproductive Health, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran. Email: kamravamanesh@yahoo.com

Received: 29 September, 2024; Revised: 4 March, 2025; Accepted: 11 March, 2025

Abstract

Background: Methotrexate (MTX), a folic acid analog, is commonly used in the treatment of ectopic pregnancies. However, its impact on ovarian reserve and function remains controversial, necessitating further investigation.

Objectives: This study aimed to compare ovarian reserve rates before and after treatment for ectopic pregnancies.

Methods: This cross-sectional analytical study involved women diagnosed with ectopic pregnancy (EP) who sought medical attention at Imam Reza Hospital in Kermanshah between 2019 and 2023. After treatment, patients were categorized into two groups: Single-dose and multiple-dose MTX treatment. The multiple-dose regimen was administered on days 1, 3, 5, and 7. One week after treatment, another blood sample was collected for anti-müllerian hormone (AMH) level measurement.

Results: A total of 40 patients diagnosed with EP were evaluated, with 20 patients in the single-dose MTX group and 20 in the multiple-dose MTX group. The average age of all patients was 30.48 ± 3.66 years, with an average parity of 2.10 ± 2 . The mean Body

Mass Index (BMI) was $28.28 \pm 3.95 \text{ kg/m}^2$. Both groups were comparable in terms of age, parity, gravidity, BMI, and education level. The study findings indicated that AMH levels did not show a statistically significant decrease (P > 0.05) following treatment in either the single-dose or multiple-dose MTX groups compared to pre-treatment levels. Additionally, when comparing the two treatment methods, no significant differences were observed (P = 0.904).

Conclusions: The results demonstrated that AMH levels decreased after EP treatment at different MTX doses compared to pretreatment levels, but this decrease was not statistically significant. These findings suggest that MTX dosage levels were not associated with significant alterations in ovarian reserve. Notably, no significant dose-dependent effect of MTX on ovarian reserve was observed.

Keywords: Ectopic Pregnancy (EP), Ovarian Reserve, Anti-Müllerian Hormone (AMH)

1. Background

Ectopic pregnancy (EP) is a significant contributor to pregnancy-related morbidity and mortality during the first trimester, occurring in 1 - 2% of all pregnancies (1, 2). Over the years, the management of ectopic pregnancies

has evolved substantially, with the preferred approach shifting from surgical intervention to methotrexate (MTX) treatment (3). Methotrexate is the primary drug used for EP treatment and is administered in various forms (2, 4, 5). Multiple studies have validated the safety and efficacy of MTX in managing ectopic pregnancies,

Copyright © 2025, Journal of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences. This open-access article is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 (CC BY-NC 4.0) International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which allows for the copying and redistribution of the material only for noncommercial purposes, provided that the original work is properly cited. leading many physicians to adopt it as the first-line treatment (6).

Methotrexate acts as a folic acid antagonist, inhibiting DNA synthesis by blocking dihydrofolate reductase, thereby effectively targeting rapidly proliferating tissues such as trophoblasts (4). Additionally, it affects other rapidly dividing cells, including malignant cells, bone marrow, intestinal mucosa, and respiratory epithelium (6, 7). While MTX is typically administered via intramuscular injection, it can also be given orally or through intravenous infusion. There are three regimens for MTX administration: Single-dose, double-dose, and multidose, with the multi-dose regimen being the most commonly used (4, 7). Despite similar success rates in treatment and future fertility between multiple doses and surgery, medical management avoids the risks associated with surgery and anesthesia, is more costeffective, requires no specialized skills, and prevents tubal damage, making it the preferred choice for most patients (4, 8-12).

However, despite its advantages, concerns remain regarding the potential negative impact of MTX on ovarian follicle reserve and fertility rates. It is hypothesized that primordial follicles involved in ovulation could be affected by MTX administration (13-15). Methotrexate effectively targets proliferating cells, including malignant, bone marrow, and embryonic cells. While this property makes it effective in treating EP, it may also impair fertility by affecting the division of granulosa cells within the ovary, potentially reducing future ovarian responsiveness and capacity (16).

Anti-müllerian hormone (AMH) is now widely used as a marker of ovarian reserve. It is secreted by granulosa cells of small follicles (4 - 6 mm in size) and remains relatively constant throughout the menstrual cycle, independent of menstruation (17). Women with higher AMH levels, produced by the ovaries, have an almost 2.5 times greater chance of pregnancy success than those with lower levels. Alongside maternal age and ovulation capability, AMH levels serve as a predictor of pregnancy success (18).

Nevertheless, conflicting findings exist in the literature (19). For instance, Shirazi et al. conducted a study comparing AMH serum levels before and after a single dose of MTX for EP treatment. They found no statistically significant difference, indicating that the patient's ovarian reserve remained unaffected (16).

Although several studies have validated the safety and efficacy of MTX treatment for ectopic pregnancies and confirmed its negligible impact on ovarian reserve and subsequent fertility (6, 7, 20, 21), the role of MTX in clinical decisions regarding women's fertility remains substantial.

2. Objectives

Given the inconclusive and contradictory findings regarding MTX's impact on ovarian reserve, this study aims to compare ovarian reserve in patients treated with MTX for EP. The secondary objective is to evaluate the effect of multiple doses of MTX on ovarian reserve.

3. Methods

The present study utilized a cross-sectional analytical design and received approval from the Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences under the ethical code IR.KUMS.REC.1399.790. The research focused on evaluating 40 women diagnosed with tubal EP who sought treatment at Imam Reza Hospital in Kermanshah, Iran, between 2019 and 2023. Sampling was conducted using a census approach, including all women with EP who were candidates for MTX treatment during the study period. Participants who met the inclusion and exclusion criteria were randomly assigned to two groups for investigation.

The inclusion criteria comprised cases of unruptured EP with stable vital signs, a tubal gestational sac diameter of less than 4 cm, serum beta-human chorionic gonadotropin (β -hCG) levels below 10,000 IU/L, a desire to preserve fertility, and the absence of medical contraindications such as severe abdominal pain, liver or kidney dysfunction.

Exclusion criteria included patients younger than 20 or older than 35 years, those with diminished ovarian reserve due to conditions such as endometriosis or previous ovarian surgery, and individuals with hematological, liver, or kidney disorders. Additional exclusions were applied to cases where creatinine levels exceeded 1.5 mg/dL, platelet counts were below 100,000/ μ L, white blood cell counts were below 2,000/ μ L, or liver enzymes were elevated by two times or more.

The primary tool used in this research was a checklist designed to capture the study's key objectives and variables. This checklist was completed for each patient, incorporating demographic details and laboratory results of AMH. Faculty members from the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology validated the checklist content during the initial project review.

Following the assessment of inclusion and exclusion criteria and obtaining informed consent, a 2 cc blood sample was drawn from each patient and sent to the central laboratory for AMH testing. Patients then underwent treatment and were assigned to either the single-dose MTX (50 mg/m²) group or the multiple-dose MTX (1 mg/kg) group. Both medications were manufactured by Kavosh Gostar Darou Company. In the multiple-dose group, MTX was administered on days 1, 3, 5, and 7. A second 2 cc blood sample was collected and analyzed one week after treatment completion using a Bioactive ELISA kit (Germany) to measure hormone levels. Patients in both groups were matched based on age, Body Mass Index (BMI), and parity.

The collected data were entered into SPSS version 20 for statistical analysis. Quantitative variables were analyzed using *t*-tests and Mann-Whitney U tests, while qualitative data were assessed using chi-square or Fisher's exact tests. A significance level of 0.05 was applied to all statistical tests.

4. Results

A total of 40 patients diagnosed with EP were divided into two groups for evaluation: The single-dose MTX group (20 patients) and the multiple-dose MTX group (20 patients). This division was conducted following a thorough assessment of inclusion and exclusion criteria. The AMH levels were measured for all patients before the intervention and one week after treatment.

An analysis of the patients' demographic variables revealed that 82.5% resided in urban areas, while 17.5% were from rural villages. The average age of all confirmed EP patients (n = 40) was 30.48 \pm 3.66 years. The average parity was 2.10 \pm 2, and the mean BMI was 28.28 \pm 3.95 kg/m². The analysis presented in Table 1 showed that the two groups were comparable in terms of age, parity, gravidity, BMI, education level, and place of residence, with no statistically significant differences observed in these variables (P > 0.05) (Table 1).

The mean AMH level before the intervention was 1.89 \pm 1.44 mg/m². Using the Mann-Whitney U test, no significant difference was found in AMH levels between the single-dose and multiple-dose MTX groups before treatment (P = 0.659), indicating their comparability. The results showed a reduction in AMH levels after

treatment in both groups compared to pre-treatment levels. However, this decrease was not statistically significant (P > 0.05) (Table 2).

5. Discussion

The importance of treating EP while preserving fertility potential cannot be overstated. However, minimizing the damage and risks associated with EP (22, 23) is crucial for safeguarding the fertility of women of childbearing age. Managing treatment approaches for these patients can be challenging due to potential risks to ovarian reserve (19). The MTX therapy has been identified as a safe and effective alternative to surgical management for asymptomatic EP. As a folic acid antagonist and inhibitor of DNA synthesis, MTX targets actively proliferating cells and, in the case of EP, prevents further growth of fetal cells. However, its effect on other dividing cells, such as oocytes and granulosa cells, remains unclear (21), and conflicting findings have been reported in the literature (19).

For instance, Shirazi et al. conducted a study comparing AMH serum levels before and after administering a single dose of MTX for EP treatment. They found no statistically significant difference, indicating that the patient's ovarian reserve remained unaffected (16). Similarly, Uyar et al. reported that singledose MTX treatment for EP did not impact ovarian reserves (22). Boots et al. observed no adverse effects on ovarian reserve or responsiveness after MTX administration for EP treatment, either before or after in vitro fertilization (2). Sekhon et al. also found that ovarian reserve and in vitro fertilization outcomes remained intact following MTX use for EP treatment (24). Orvieto et al. reported no changes in FSH levels, ovarian stimulation characteristics, or the number of retrieved oocytes before and after single-dose MTX treatment for EP in a study involving 14 women undergoing in vitro fertilization (25).

In another study by Oriol et al., AMH levels, cycle length, required gonadotropin doses, maximum E2 levels, the number of retrieved oocytes, and total embryos showed no difference before and after singledose MTX administration for EP treatment in 14 women undergoing in vitro fertilization or intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) (20). A retrospective cohort study by Hill et al. found no correlation between the number of MTX doses administered and changes in ovarian reserve, suggesting no dose-dependent effect.

Demographic Variables	Single-Dose MTX $(n = 20)$	Multiple-Dose MTX (n = 20)	Tests	P-Value
Age	29.5 ± 4.34	31.45 ± 2.58	Mann-Whitney U test	0.242
Parity	2.15 ± 1.08	2.05 ± 1.09	Mann-Whitney U test	0.779
ВМІ	28.37 ± 3.04	28.2 ± 4.77	Mann-Whitney U test	0.445
Education level			Chi-square	0.779
Literate	2 (10)	2 (10)		
High school and diploma	13 (65)	11 (55)		
Academic	5 (25)	7(35)		
Place of residence			Fisher's exact test	0.447
City	15 (75)	18 (90)		
Village	5 (25)	2(10)		

Abbreviation: MTX, methotrexate.

^a Values are expressed as mean ± SD or No. (%).

Research Groups	Before Intervention	After Intervention	P-Value
Single-dose MTX	1.99 ± 1.80	1.44 ± 1.36	0.704
Multiple-dose MTX	1.79 ± 1.76	1.31 ± 1.02	0.849
P-value	0.659	0.144	-
Abbreviation: MTX, methotrexate.			
³ Values are expressed as mean ± SD.			

Furthermore, MTX did not negatively affect fertility rates (26). In a systematic review and meta-analysis by Ohannessian et al., no statistically significant differences were found in basal plasma FSH levels, total gonadotropin dose for stimulation, stimulation duration, and E2 levels on the day of ovulation before and after MTX treatment for EP. These findings suggested that MTX treatment for EP did not have a detrimental impact on subsequent fertility treatments in infertile patients (27).

Additional studies, which examined different MTX doses and time intervals and compared them with surgical methods for EP treatment, did not identify any significant adverse effects on ovarian reserve and function in subsequent pregnancies. Singer et al. reported equivalent serum AMH levels before and after EP treatment with either MTX or surgery following in vitro fertilization, concluding that single-dose MTX treatment did not reduce AMH levels (28). Sahin Ersoy et al. found no permanent harmful effects on ovarian reserve after EP treatment, regardless of whether single-dose MTX or salpingectomy was used. Furthermore, serum AMH and antral follicle count (AFC) levels

remained unchanged in the long term (29). A prospective study by Sahin et al. comparing AMH levels before and after EP treatment using systemic single-dose MTX, unilateral salpingectomy, and salpingectomy after MTX administration indicated that current medical and surgical treatment approaches did not negatively affect ovarian reserve (19). Pereira et al. concluded that treating EP with MTX or salpingectomy may not adversely affect ovarian reserve, ovarian responsiveness, or the outcome of subsequent in vitro fertilization cycles (30).

Although several studies have validated the safety and efficacy of MTX treatment for ectopic pregnancies and confirmed its negligible impact on ovarian reserve or subsequent fertility (6, 7, 20, 21), our study's findings indicate that AMH levels did not exhibit a statistically significant decrease following treatment in either the single-dose or multiple-dose MTX groups compared to their pre-treatment levels. Additionally, when comparing the treatment methods, no significant differences were observed, potentially due to variations in drug dosage and patient geographic locations.

Ovarian reserve markers, including the number of follicles and anti-müllerian hormone levels, have been reported to decrease following single or multiple-dose MTX administration and salpingectomy. These findings align with an animal model study by Ulug and Oner, which evaluated the effects of administering single or multiple-dose MTX and salpingectomy on rat ovarian reserve through AMH level measurements and histological analysis (23). A prospective clinical trial by Askari in a human model produced results similar to our study. They measured AMH levels, a biomarker of subsequent pregnancy, to compare the effects of singledose MTX and salpingectomy on the ovarian reserve of women with EP. While both methods resulted in decreased AMH levels, the difference was not statistically significant (31). These findings were consistent with our study but differed in their comparison of treatment and surgery, whereas we exclusively compared two treatment methods - singledose and multiple-dose MTX.

Moreover, Alleyassin et al. compared single and multiple-dose MTX groups concerning drug side effects and treatment outcomes, yielding results consistent with our study, demonstrating no significant difference between the two groups (32). The findings of the present study highlight the need for further, more detailed investigations into the lack of a significant effect of different MTX doses on ovarian reserve. Additionally, the clinical implications of this hypothesis warrant further exploration. Considering the mechanism of action of MTX, this treatment should be recommended with caution and sensitivity, taking into account the individual conditions of each patient to optimize treatment outcomes for women with EP.

The present study had certain limitations that should be considered. It was a cross-sectional analytical study with a limited sample size. Additionally, the cost of AMH measurements constrained the sample size selection. Since hormone levels naturally fluctuate throughout the menstrual cycle, careful timing is required when interpreting results. Moreover, ovarian reserve assessment immediately after treatment may show temporary changes that do not necessarily indicate a permanent decline in ovarian function. Given that the long-term effects of MTX on ovarian function years after treatment remain unknown, further studies with extended follow-up periods are recommended. On the other hand, a notable strength of this study lies in its assessment of AMH during MTX treatment for EP, providing valuable insights into its potential impact on ovarian reserve.

5.1. Conclusions

The findings of our study indicated that AMH levels declined following treatment in both the single-dose and multiple-dose MTX groups compared to their respective pre-treatment levels. However, it is important to note that this observed difference did not reach statistical significance. Additionally, no significant differences were found between the various treatment approaches.

These results suggest that MTX administration in patients with EP may have a potential impact on ovarian reserve. However, further investigations with larger sample sizes, varying MTX dosages, and extended followperiods are strongly recommended up to comprehensively evaluate the long-term effects of MTX on ovarian reserve. Future research should also explore the clinical implications of these findings and consider directions potential for improving patient management.

Moreover, this study underscores the need for largerscale research involving diverse populations to enhance the generalizability and impact of its findings.

Acknowledgements

The contents of this paper are derived from an approved doctoral thesis in obstetrics and gynecology (thesis number: 990767). We extend our gratitude to the Clinical Research Development Department of Imam Reza Hospital in Kermanshah and our esteemed colleagues within the hospital and the central laboratory of Kermanshah. Additionally, we wish to express our appreciation to the expectant mothers whose participation was invaluable in facilitating the execution of this study.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Study concept and design: N. R., P. Y., M. S. S., and M. R.; Analysis and interpretation of data: P. Y., M. H., A. K., and K. A.; Drafting of the manuscript: M. K., A. K., K. A., and S. S. S.; Critical revision

of the manuscript for important intellectual content: N. R., M. K., and M. R.; Statistical analysis: M. R. and M. H.

Conflict of Interests Statement: The authors declared no conflict of interests.

Data Availability: The dataset presented in the study is available on request from the corresponding author during submission or after publication.

Ethical Approval: This study is approved under the ethical approval code of IR.KUMS.REC.1399.790.

Funding/Support: This study was supported in part by grant 990767 from the Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran.

Informed Consent: Written informed consent was obtained from the participant.

References

- Iklaki C, Emechebe C, Njoku C, Ago B, Ugwu B. Review of Ectopic Pregnancy as a Cause of Maternal Morbidity and Mortality in a Developing Country. *PARITY*. 2015;14:86-91. https://doi.org/10.9790/0853-14868691.
- Boots CE, Gustofson RL, Feinberg EC. Does methotrexate administration for ectopic pregnancy after in vitro fertilization impact ovarian reserve or ovarian responsiveness? *Fertil Steril.* 2013;100(6):1590-3. [PubMed ID: 24035728]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2013.08.007.
- Tulandi T. Ectopic pregnancy: Choosing a treatment. Up to Date. 2020. Available from: https://www.uptodate.com/contents/ectopicpregnancy-choosing-a-treatment.
- Cunningham FG, Leveno KJ, Bloom SL, Hauth JC, Gilstrap LC, Wenstrom KD. Williams Obstetrics. New York, USA: McGraw-Hill Education; 2018.
- Zhang J, Zhang Y, Gan L, Liu XY, Du SP. Predictors and clinical features of methotrexate (MTX) therapy for ectopic pregnancy. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2020;**20**(1):654. [PubMed ID: 33121473]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7597060]. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-020-03350-8.
- Hawrylyshyn K, McLeod SL, Thomas J, Varner C. Methotrexate for the treatment of unruptured tubal ectopic pregnancy. *Canadian J Emerg Med.* 2019;21(3):391-4. [PubMed ID: 30295218]. https://doi.org/10.1017/cem.2018.440.
- Voedisch AJ. Ectopic pregnancy. In: Berek JS, editor. *Berek & Novak's gynecology*. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2020.
- Juneau C, Bates GW. Reproductive outcomes after medical and surgical management of ectopic pregnancy. *Clin Obstet Gynecol.* 2012;55(2):455-60. [PubMed ID: 22510628]. https://doi.org/10.1097/GRF.0b013e3182510a88.
- Speroff L, Fritz MA. Clinical Gynecologic Endocrinology and Infertility. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2005.
- 10. Fylstra DL. Ectopic pregnancy not within the (distal) fallopian tube: etiology, diagnosis, and treatment. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*.

2012; 206 (4):289-99.	[PubMed	ID:	22177188].
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.a	jog.2011.10.857.		

- Nankali A, Shirzadi N, Rezaei M, Daeichin S. [Trends in the treatment and clinical presentations of tubal ectopic pregnancy in Imam Reza Hospital of Kermanshah, Iran (2007-2011)]. *Iran J Obstetrics, Gynecol Infertility*. 2014;17(95):1-7. FA.
- Gungorduk K, Asicioglu O, Yildirim G, Gungorduk OC, Besimoglu B, Ark C. Comparison of single-dose and two-dose methotrexate protocols for the treatment of unruptured ectopic pregnancy. J Obstet Gynaecol. 2011;31(4):330-4. [PubMed ID: 21534757]. https://doi.org/10.3109/01443615.2011.560301.
- Oktem O, Oktay K. Quantitative assessment of the impact of chemotherapy on ovarian follicle reserve and stromal function. *Cancer.* 2007;**110**(10):2222-9. [PubMed ID: 17932880]. https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.23071.
- Meirow D, Dor J, Kaufman B, Shrim A, Rabinovici J, Schiff E, et al. Cortical fibrosis and blood-vessels damage in human ovaries exposed to chemotherapy. Potential mechanisms of ovarian injury. *Hum Reprod.* 2007;**22**(6):1626-33. [PubMed ID: 17324957]. https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dem027.
- McLaren JF, Burney RO, Milki AA, Westphal LM, Dahan MH, Lathi RB. Effect of methotrexate exposure on subsequent fertility in women undergoing controlled ovarian stimulation. *Fertil Steril.* 2009;92(2):515-9. [PubMed ID: 18829004]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2008.07.009.
- Shirazi M, Pooransari P, Hajiha N, Shaker Z, Ghazi M, Davari Tanha F, et al. Effect of Single-Dose Methotrexate Treatment on Ovarian Reserve in Women with Ectopic Pregnancy Undergoing Infertility Treatment: A Single-Center Experience. Int J Fertility Sterility. 2020;14(1):23-6. https://doi.org/10.22074/ijfs.2020.5938.
- Cook CL, Siow Y, Taylor S, Fallat ME. Serum mullerian-inhibiting substance levels during normal menstrual cycles. *Fertil Steril.* 2000;73(4):859-61. [PubMed ID: 10731554]. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0015-0282(99)00639-1.
- Turan V. Fertility outcomes subsequent to treatment of tubal ectopic pregnancy in younger Turkish women. J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol. 2011;24(5):251-5. [PubMed ID: 21715197]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpag.2010.12.007.
- Sahin C, Taylan E, Akdemir A, Ozgurel B, Taskıran D, Ergenoglu AM. The impact of salpingectomy and single-dose systemic methotrexate treatments on ovarian reserve in ectopic pregnancy. *Europ J Obstetrics Gynecolol Reproductive Biol.* 2016;**205**:50-2. [PubMed ID: 27592417]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2016.08.028.
- Oriol B, Barrio A, Pacheco A, Serna J, Zuzuarregui JL, Garcia-Velasco JA. Systemic methotrexate to treat ectopic pregnancy does not affect ovarian 357 reserve. *Fertility Sterility*. 2008;**90**(5):1579-82. [PubMed ID: 18054933].
- Boots CE, Hill MJ, Feinberg EC, Lathi RB, Fowler SA, Jungheim ES. Methotrexate does not affect ovarian reserve or subsequent assisted reproductive technology outcomes. J Assist Reprod Genet. 2016;33(5):647-56. [PubMed ID: 26943917]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4870444]. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10815-016-0683-7.
- Uyar I, Yucel OU, Gezer C, Gulhan I, Karis B, Hanhan HM, et al. Effect of single-dose methotrexate on ovarian reserve in women with ectopic pregnancy. *Fertil Steril*. 2013;**100**(5):1310-3. [PubMed ID: 23891021]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2013.06.040.
- 23. Ulug P, Oner G. Evaluation of the effects of single or multiple dose methotrexate administration, salpingectomy on ovarian reserve of rat with the measurement of anti-Mullerian hormone (AMH) levels

 and
 histological
 analysis.
 Eur
 J
 Obstet
 Gynecol
 Reprod
 Biol.

 2014;181:205-9.
 [PubMed
 ID:
 25171264].

 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2014.07.011.
 2
 2
 2

- 24. Sekhon L, Rodriguez-Purata J, Lee JA, Whitehouse MC, Copperman AB. Methotrexate treatment of ectopic pregnancy does not impact ovarian reserve or clinical outcome, regardless of the duration of time since exposure. *Fertility and Sterility*. 2016;**106**(3). e88.
- Orvieto R, Kruchkovich J, Zohav E, Rabinson J, Anteby EY, Meltcer S. Does methotrexate treatment for ectopic pregnancy influence the patient's performance during a subsequent in vitro fertilization/embryo transfer cycle? *Fertil Steril*. 2007;88(6):1685-6. [PubMed ID: 17493622]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2007.01.064.
- Hill MJ, Cooper JC, Levy G, Alford C, Richter KS, DeCherney AH, et al. Ovarian reserve and subsequent assisted reproduction outcomes after methotrexate therapy for ectopic pregnancy or pregnancy of unknown location. *Fertil Steril.* 2014;**101**(2):413-9. [PubMed ID: 24269042]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC3946660]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2013.10.027.
- Ohannessian A, Loundou A, Courbiere B, Cravello L, Agostini A. Ovarian responsiveness in women receiving fertility treatment after methotrexate for ectopic pregnancy: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Hum Reprod.* 2014;29(9):1949-56. [PubMed ID: 25056087]. https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/deu174.

- 28. Singer T, Kofinas J, Huang JY, Elias R, Schattman GL, Rosenwaks Z. Anti mullerian hormone serum levels and reproductive outcome are not affected by neither methotrexate nor laparoscopic salpingectomy for the treatment of ectopic pregnancy in IVF patients. J Minimally Invasive Gynecol. 2011;18(6). S46.
- Sahin Ersoy G, Turhan OT, Sakin O, Bulut S. Comparison of the longterm effects of single-dose methotrexate and salpingectomy on ovarian reserve in terms of anti-mullerian hormone levels. *Hum Fertil* (*Camb*). 2016;19(4):262-7. [PubMed ID: 27483358]. https://doi.org/10.1080/14647273.2016.1214755.
- Pereira N, Gerber D, Gerber RS, Lekovich JP, Elias RT, Spandorfer SD, et al. Effect of Methotrexate or Salpingectomy for Ectopic Pregnancy on Subsequent In Vitro Fertilization-Embryo Transfer Outcomes. *J Minim Invasive Gynecol.* 2015;22(5):870-6. [PubMed ID: 25917279]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmig.2015.04.013.
- 31. Askari E. A Comparative Study of Impacts of Single-dose Systemic Methotrexate and Salpingectomy Surgery Treatments on Ovarian Reserve in Women with Ectopic Pregnancy Using Anti-Mullerian Hormone. *Asian J Pharmaceutics (AJP)*. 2018;**12**(1).
- 32. Alleyassin A, Khademi A, Aghahosseini M, Safdarian L, Badenoosh B, Hamed EA. Comparison of success rates in the medical management of ectopic pregnancy with single-dose and multiple-dose administration of methotrexate: a prospective, randomized clinical trial. *Fertil Steril.* 2006;85(6):1661-6. [PubMed ID: 16650421]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2005.11.055.