Published Online: 2025 March 15

**Research Article** 



# Development of the Process Flowchart in Preventive and Health Promotion Clinics: The Need for Coherent, Up to Date and Community-Based Services

Maryam Biglari Abhari (10)<sup>1</sup>, Rozina Rahnama (10)<sup>1</sup>, Hamideh Sabetrohani (10)<sup>2</sup>, Mahnaz Khalafehnilsaz (10)<sup>1</sup>, Fereshteh Rezaie (10)<sup>1</sup>, Ronak Ghafori (10)<sup>3</sup>, Ayoub Nafei (10)<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>ACECR, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>2</sup> Student Research Committee, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Shahroud University of Medical Sciences, Shahroud, Iran

<sup>3</sup> Department of District 9 Education, Ministry of Education, Tehran, Iran

\* Corresponding Author: ACECR, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. Email: nafei.un@gmail.com

Received: 16 March, 2024; Revised: 19 February, 2025; Accepted: 9 March, 2025

#### Abstract

**Background:** This investigation was initiated to critically appraise and enhance the process flowchart of preventive clinics, acknowledging the urgent necessity for services that are cohesive, contemporary, and community-centric.

**Objectives:** The present study aimed to ensure the alignment of healthcare delivery systems with optimal protocols and dynamic societal requirements.

**Methods:** In this descriptive cross-sectional study, four preventive and health promotion clinics in Tehran were visited, and data were collected using a researcher-developed checklist comprising three sections with 14 criteria (from August to October 2019). Subsequently, a strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats (SWOT) analysis was conducted to evaluate preventive services. Visio software was employed to plot the main process flowcharts.

**Results:** The approaches of the preventive and health promotion clinics varied. In 25% of cases, health education was provided to all clients without screening seemingly healthy individuals. Half of the clinics visited had active websites, with one providing extensive educational content to the public. Only one clinic utilized specialized screening software. Ultimately, several main process flowcharts of preventive and health promotion clinics, along with tables of specific interventions for each disease by age and sex, were developed.

**Conclusions:** The implementation of a screening approach, the use of standard protocols, and up-to-date process flowcharts in accordance with community priorities are essential for the development of prevention services.

Keywords: Process Flowcharts, Preventive and Health Promotion Clinics, Community-Based Services

# 1. Background

Health promotion hospitals (HPHs) integrate health promotion and disease prevention into their core functions, addressing the root causes of illnesses and improving population health. Preventive clinics within HPHs employ evidence-based strategies to identify risk factors and deliver personalized interventions, thereby reducing the burden of chronic diseases such as diabetes and hypertension. In Iran, despite advancements in healthcare access, the integration of preventive care remains underdeveloped due to limited infrastructure, cultural barriers, and fragmented workflows (1, 2). However, opportunities exist through the adoption of international best practices, strengthening policy support, leveraging digital health technologies, and increasing public awareness (3). Prioritizing preventive care can lower healthcare costs, improve community health, and build a resilient healthcare system aligned with global goals (4, 5).

Currently, 60 to 80 major public and academic medical centers in cities such as Tehran, Mashhad, Isfahan, Shiraz, and Tabriz, along with 20 to 30 private facilities, offer specialized preventive services (6, 7).

Copyright © 2025, Journal of Clinical Research in Paramedical Sciences. This open-access article is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 (CC BY-NC 4.0) International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which allows for the copying and redistribution of the material only for noncommercial purposes, provided that the original work is properly cited. These clinics, located in hospitals such as Imam Khomeini, Shariati, Milad, Rasul Akram, Sina, Ali Ibn Abi Talib, and Ganjavian, provide essential services including screening, health education, counseling, and occupational health exams (8). However, the number of hospitals with active preventive clinics remains insufficient. Challenges such as resource limitations, low public awareness, and inefficient workflows hinder expansion. Yet, growing public awareness and the integration of health information technologies offer opportunities for improvement (9, 10).

# 2. Objectives

The present study emphasizes optimizing workflows in preventive clinics and advocates for a communitycentered approach tailored to local population needs. By adopting advanced technologies and innovative strategies, it aims to evaluate current workflows and design effective interventions to enhance health outcomes. Prioritizing preventive care and addressing systemic challenges can create a sustainable healthcare system, reduce disease burdens, and improve social health in Iran.

# 3. Methods

# 3.1. Study Design

The present study adopted a descriptive, crosssectional design to evaluate and enhance the clinical process flowcharts of preventive clinics. The primary objective was to identify gaps in service delivery and propose evidence-based interventions to optimize preventive care workflows. The study was conducted from August to October 2019 and focused on four preventive and health promotion clinics in Tehran, Iran.

# 3.2. Study Setting and Sampling

Four preventive and health promotion clinics were selected using a convenience sampling method, ensuring diversity in operational capacity, service offerings, and geographical representation. The selection criteria prioritized accessibility, operational capacity, and the diversity of services provided. The selected clinics included: (1) Shahid Rajaei Cardiovascular Training, Research, and Treatment Center; (2) Imam Khomeini Hospital; (3) Baharloo Hospital; (4) Valfajr Prevention and Family Physician Clinic under the supervision of West Health Center.

These clinics were chosen due to their accessibility, operational capacity, and the diversity of preventive services they offer, ensuring a representative sample of preventive care facilities in Tehran.

# 3.3. Data Collection

Data were collected through:

(1) Observations: Direct observation of clinic operations was conducted to assess workflow efficiency, service delivery, and patient interactions.

(2) Checklist assessment: A checklist, divided into three sections with 14 criteria, was used to evaluate key aspects of service delivery, including: (A) Information and content production (e.g., educational media, active websites); (B) supportive programs (e.g., insurance services, official support); (C) service provision (e.g., patient admissions, specialized software, follow-up visits).

# 3.4. Data Analysis

(1) Strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats (SWOT) analysis: A comprehensive SWOT analysis was conducted to evaluate the current state of preventive services. The SWOT table was reviewed and validated by specialists in community and preventive medicine, internal medicine, and geriatrics to ensure accuracy and relevance.

(2) Process flowchart development: Using Microsoft Visio software, standardized process flowcharts were created to visualize and optimize clinic workflows. These flowcharts were designed to align with national health guidelines and community health priorities.

(3) Compliance assessment: Activities and subactivities were mapped against national health system guidelines to ensure compliance. Each activity was assigned a direct manager to oversee implementation and adherence to standards.

# 4. Results

In the first section, findings from the visits (Table 1) indicate that only 25% of clinics actively advertised prevention services. Most visitors to preventive and health promotion clinics were existing patients, with only 25% being apparently healthy individuals seeking screening. Clinicians provided educational services to

Main Sections and Criteria	No
Information and content production	NO.
Information and content production	
Existence of advertisements	
Yes	3
NO	1
Presence of clinic signboards	
Yes	4
NO	•
Location of the clinic	
In clinics main hall	2
In separate building	2
Produce educational media	
Yes, a lot	1
Yes, limited	3
No	· .
Active website	
Yes	2
No	2
Supportive programs	
Official support	
Completely	3
Relatively	1
Insurance services	
Yes, completely	1
Yes, relatively	2
No	1
Specific software	
Yes	1
No	3
Providing services	
Admitted patients	
All patients	1
Some patients	2
Seemingly healthy people	1
The main task of the preventive physician	
Only patient education	1
Student education	2
Patient visit	1
Screening clients	2
Existence of a specialized team	
Medical specialists	1
Some of experts	2
No team	1
Use of specific guidelines	
Yes, completely	1
Yes, relatively	2
No	
Without screening	1
Measuring clients satisfaction	
Yes, completely	1
Yes, relatively	2
No	1
Subsequent follow up	
Yes	3
No	1

patients and their companions during initial appointments in 25% of cases, with no follow-up. Only one clinic complied with standard guidelines, while 75% had access to specialists, psychologists, and nutrition experts. In one clinic, a community and preventive

medicine specialist worked in isolation without collaboration with other specialties.

Insurance utilization for certain prevention services was unclear, and only two clinics (50%) had active websites. One site disseminated educational media,

Table 2. Results of Strengths, Weaknesses, Threats and Opportunities Analysis of the Expert's Panel				
Variables	Results			
Strengths	Existence of young and motivated faculty members			
	Support of most senior university officials to activate clinics			
	Relatively high number of people referring to the university hospital clinics			
Weaknesses	Lack of integrated software system			
	The failure of most specialists to attract people to prevention clinics			
	Most related documents were not up to date			
	Different processes and activities of prevention clinics			
	Incomplete referral process			
Opportunities	Sensitivity of members of society to public health			
	Existence of new guidelines and resources in the field of prevention			
	Ability to use the capacity of municipalities and other institutions			
	Possibility of using technology in the field of prevention			
	Increasing life expectancy and the need to improve the quality of life			
Threats	Some officials are doubtful about the efficiency of clinics			
	High cost of some tests and para clinics			
	High rate of inflation in the above costs			
	Lack of insurance coverage for prevention services			
	Not considering the need for preventive counseling in patients admitted to ICU and CCU wards			

while the other was used for screening questionnaires. Only one clinic (25%) employed specific software for screening. In the second section, a SWOT analysis for preventive services was developed based on the perspectives and observations of clinic officials, augmented by insights from five specialists (Table 2).

The third section delineated activities for target groups, including:

- Special services for employees, discharged patients, and hospitalized patients, with an emphasis on risk factor identification and management.

- Population-based services for municipal employees and factory workers, offering screening and educational services.

- Research services that support knowledge-based activities in prevention and health promotion.

- Educational services for community and preventive medicine specialists, medical interns, and clerkships.

In this context, Table 3 serves as a comprehensive summary of specific interventions tailored for each disease, categorized by age and sex. This table enhances the understanding of the diverse needs of patients, emphasizing the importance of personalized approaches in healthcare.

In the fourth section, several key process flowcharts related to clinic activities were revised and finalized by experts using Visio software (Figures 1 and 2).

#### 5. Discussion

The present study critically evaluates and proposes strategies to enhance the flowcharts governing preventive clinics and family physician services. Initial process flowcharts and risk assessment tables tailored to age-gender groups were designed and presented (11). Clinics operating under the titles of preventive care or family medicine provide services based on predefined objectives, but their prioritization of core prevention principles remains questionable (12). These clinics should function as nexus points between health promotion and medical treatment, directing clients through established referral processes.

Comprehensive policy frameworks by the Ministry of Health are essential to ensure a systematic approach to preventive care. Research by Parsaye et al. (13) in Iran, along with Shewade and Chinnakali (14) in India, highlights actionable strategies for health-promoting hospitals. A key challenge is the insufficient concern among senior hospital officials regarding preventive health measures, as identified by Thanh et al. (15) and Hudon et al. (16). Barriers to preventive care can be alleviated through targeted training and empowerment initiatives for physicians and managers, supported by senior officials. Disseminating information about

Titles/Actions	Frequency of Intervention	Description	Age of Starting of Intervention (y)
Prevention of chronic diseases (SNAP)			
Cigar S	First opportunity/at each visit	-	13 - 18
Overweight N	Once every 2 years	-	13 - 18
Nutrition	Once every 2 years	In people with increased risk: Every 6 months	13 - 18
Diagnosis of alcohol consumption A	-	Provide educational brochures	13 - 18
Physical activity P	Once every 2 years	In high-risk people, at every visit	13 - 18
Sexual health			
STD and Chlamydia	First opportunity/every year	If there is sexual activity (marriage)	13 - 18
Prevention of vascular disease			
Absolute risk assessment CV	Once every 2 years	-	40 - 45
blood pressure	Once every 2 years	In people at increased risk of CVD every 6 to 12 months/in high-risk people every 6 to 12 weeks	13 - 18
Cholesterol and other fats	Once every 5 years	In people at higher risk: Once every 1 to 2 years	45 - 49
Type 2 diabetes	Once every 3 years	In IGT and IFG every 12 months	40 - 45
Stroke	Every year	Recommended for people at increased risk	-
Kidney disease	Once every 1 to 2 years	Recommended for people at increased risk	-
Cancers			
Skin cancer	Occurs at every opportunity	In high-risk individuals every 2 to 3 months	-
Cervical cancer	Once every 2 years	To be done until the age of 69	Marriage-the beginning of sexual activity
Breast cancer	Once every 2 years	To be done until the age of 69	50
Colon cancer	Once every 2 years	High-risk people with shorter distances	50
Psycho-social			
Depression	High risk: Every visit	Every opportunity has come	13 - 18 and elderly
Domestic violence	Occurs at every opportunity	Pregnant women should also be considered	13 - 18 and elderly
Elderly			
Danger of falling-falling	Every year	With a history of falls: Once every 6 months	65
Sight and hearing	Every year	-	65
Oral health	At least every year	With an emphasis on people at increased risk	-
Osteoporosis			
Women	Every year	By specific risk assessment	45 - 49
Men	Every year	By specific risk assessment	50

preventive services and attracting healthy individuals to health centers remain significant challenges. Patients are often referred only after symptomatic disease onset (16). While one hospital's website demonstrated effective information dissemination (17), extending this to all centers is crucial. Parsaye et al. (13) recommend strategies like dedicated websites and educational materials to enhance awareness. Outreach initiatives beyond clinical settings, such as collaborations with organizations, can facilitate employee screening.

A four-stage referral system is recommended: Enhancing public awareness, identifying health needs, developing an electronic referral system, and monitoring quality through patient feedback. An integrated model for preventive services enhances care quality and fosters networking among centers. Patients referred to other clinics are often overlooked for preventive evaluations, highlighting the need for opportunistic screening (14). Community and preventive medicine specialist practitioners oversee preventive services in hospitals, but studies emphasize the involvement of primary care physicians and community health professionals (18, 19). Preventive clinics should operate as collaborative teams integrating specialists, general practitioners, and other relevant fields. The lack of an integrated framework based on clinical guidelines leads to varied approaches among clinics. Evidence-based guidelines and electronic





health records (EHR) can enhance service delivery, though challenges like user information protection must be addressed (20). Insurance coverage for preventive services is another concern, as high costs hinder accessibility (20, 21). Comprehensive insurance solutions at macro policy levels are essential to support preventive care.

#### 5.1. Conclusions

Based on this study, by leveraging potential opportunities both inside and outside the system, obtaining the support of health managers for preventive services, providing a screening approach, and using standard and up-to-date process flowcharts in



Figure 2. Practical process in the second visit (referral to specialists for comprehensive consultation and assessment)

accordance with community priorities, the services of these clinics can be significantly improved.

#### 5.2. Limitations

The use of convenience sampling may restrict the generalizability of the findings to other contexts. Future research should consider employing a randomized sampling approach to enhance external validity.

# Acknowledgements

We sincerely thank the officials of the prevention clinics at Shahid Rajaei Cardiovascular Training, Research and Treatment Center, Imam Khomeini Hospital, Baharloo Hospital, and the Valfajr Prevention and Family Physician Clinic for conducting field investigations and sharing their experiences. We also extend our gratitude to the esteemed professors of internal medicine and geriatrics at Iran University of Medical Sciences for their insightful ideas and perspectives on the activities of the prevention clinic and for their contributions to completing the SWOT table.

#### Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: M. B.: Conceptualization, methodology, writing — original draft, writing — review and editing, project administration, and supervision; R. R.: Methodology, writing — original draft, writing review and editing, project administration, and supervision; H.S.: Writing — original draft, writing review and editing; M. Kh.: Writing — original draft, review and editing; F. R.: Methodology, writing original draft; R. Gh.: Methodology, writing — original draft, writing — review and editing; A. N.: Conceptualization, methodology, writing — original draft, writing — review and editing, project administration, and supervision. **Conflict of Interests Statement:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**Data Availability:** The dataset presented in the study is available on request from the corresponding author during submission or after its publication.

**Ethical Approval:** The study was approved by the Research and Ethics Committee of IUMS (IR.IUMS.139613008).

**Funding/Support:** The present study received no funding/support.

**Informed Consent:** Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

# References

- Suwanno J, Phonphet C, Mayurapak C, Ninla-Aesong P, Thaimwong L. Exploring factors associated with hypertension self-care in primary care: The role of nurse education levels and patient-related factors. *Int J Nurs Pract.* 2024;**30**(5). e13208. [PubMed ID: 37797953]. https://doi.org/10.1111/jin.13208.
- Whitelaw S, Graham N, Black D, Coburn J, Renwick L. Developing capacity and achieving sustainable implementation in healthy 'settings': insights from NHS Health Scotland's Health Promoting Health Service project. *Health Promot Int.* 2012;27(1):127-37. [PubMed ID: 21680598]. https://doi.org/10.1093/heapro/dar038.
- Wong BLH, Maass L, Vodden A, van Kessel R, Sorbello S, Buttigieg S, et al. The dawn of digital public health in Europe: Implications for public health policy and practice. *Lancet Reg Health Eur.* 2022;14:100316. [PubMed ID: 35132399]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8811486]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lanepe.2022.100316.
- Okyere DO, Lomazzi M, Peri K, Moore M. Investing in health system resilience: A scoping review to identify strategies for enhancing preparedness and response capacity. *Popul Med.* 2024;6(February):1-21. https://doi.org/10.18332/popmed/183661.
- World Health Organization; United Nations Children's Fund. A vision for primary health care in the 21st century: towards universal health coverage and the Sustainable Development Goals. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2018. Report No.: WHO/HIS/SDS/2018.15.
- 6. MoHaM E. [Annual Health Statistics Report]. 2021. AR.
- 7. Healthcare Services Group. Annual Report on Healthcare Facilities. Education MoHaM,; 2022. Available from: https://www.annualreports.com/HostedData/AnnualReports/PDF/NA SDAQ\_HCSG\_2023.pdf.
- Zhang JJ, Rothberg MB, Misra-Hebert AD, Gupta NM, Taksler GB. Assessment of Physician Priorities in Delivery of Preventive Care. JAMA Netw Open. 2020;3(7). e2011677. [PubMed ID: 32716515]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8103855]. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.11677.

- Tiso A, Pozzan C, Verbano C. Health lean management implementation in local health networks: A systematic literature review. Oper Res Perspect. 2022;9:100256. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orp.2022.100256.
- Herranz C. An adaptive case management approach to prevent unplanned hospital admissions in a care continuum scenario. 2023. Available from: https://www.tesisenred.net/handle/10803/692290#page=1.
- Brandall B. What is a Workflow? A Simple Guide to Getting Started. 2018. Available from: https://www.process.st/what-is-a-workflow/.
- Maciosek MV, Coffield AB, Edwards NM, Flottemesch TJ, Goodman MJ, Solberg LI. Priorities among effective clinical preventive services: results of a systematic review and analysis. *Am J Prev Med.* 2006;**31**(1):52-61. [PubMed ID: 16777543]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2006.03.012.
- Parsay S, Abachizadeh K, Heidarnia M, Rassouli M, Sayarifard A, Mohseny M, et al. Designing a Model for Providing Preventive Clinical Services in Hospital, Tehran, Iran. *Procedia-Soc Behav Sci.* 2014;**109**:1336-42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.12.634.
- Shewade H, Chinnakali P. Preventive medicine clinics in hospitals of India: An opportunity missed. Int J Med Public Health. 2013;3(2). https://doi.org/10.4103/2230-8598.115184.
- Thanh ND, Hung PT, Hoang NM, Anh PQ. A framework of leadership and managerial competency for preventive health managers in Vietnam. *Int J Healthcare Management*. 2019;**14**(2):478-83. https://doi.org/10.1080/20479700.2019.1664028.
- Hudon E, Beaulieu MD, Roberge D; Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care. Integration of the recommendations of the Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care: obstacles perceived by a group of family physicians. *Fam Pract.* 2004;**21**(1):11-7. [PubMed ID: 14760037]. https://doi.org/10.1093/fampra/cmh104.
- Ramos LR, Malta DC, Gomes GA, Bracco MM, Florindo AA, Mielke GI, et al. Prevalence of health promotion programs in primary health care units in Brazil. *Rev Saude Publica*. 2014;**48**(5):837-44. [PubMed ID: 25372175]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4211580]. https://doi.org/10.1590/s0034-8910.2014048005249.
- Taksler GB, Keshner M, Fagerlin A, Hajizadeh N, Braithwaite RS. Personalized estimates of benefit from preventive care guidelines: a proof of concept. Ann Intern Med. 2013;159(3):161-8. [PubMed ID: 23922061]. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-159-3-201308060-00005.
- Katz A, Lambert-Lanning A, Miller A, Kaminsky B, Enns J. Delivery of preventive care: the national Canadian Family Physician Cancer and Chronic Disease Prevention Survey. *Can Fam Physician*. 2012;**58**(1):e62-9. [PubMed ID: 22267643]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC3264040].
- Holman GT, Beasley JW, Karsh BT, Stone JA, Smith PD, Wetterneck TB. The myth of standardized workflow in primary care. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 2016;23(1):29-37. [PubMed ID: 26335987]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5009941]. https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocv107.
- Koning NR, van der Schriek LMM, van der Kooij MJ, Buchner FL, de Wilde JA, Numans ME, et al. [Collaboration between general practitioners and preventive youth health physicians: room for improvement]. Ned Tijdschr Geneeskd. 2018;162. [PubMed ID: 30040274].