Published Online: 2024 July 31

Research Article



Investigating the Frequency and Causes of Needle Stick and Sharp Object Injuries Among the Dentists of Lorestan Province in 2023

Maryam Dalaei Moghadam ¹, Rajab Rashidi (D) ², *, Maryam Mahdavi (D) ³, Mostafa Kalantari ⁴, Mohammadmehdi Mohammadirad ⁴

Received: 1 May, 2024; Revised: 2 July, 2024; Accepted: 23 July, 2024

Abstract

Background: Healthcare workers in various fields, including dentists, are exposed to needle stick incidents, which can lead to infectious diseases.

Objectives: This study was conducted to investigate the frequency and causes of needle stick and sharp object injuries among dentists in Lorestan province, west of Iran, in 2023.

Methods: Considering the inclusion and exclusion criteria of this analytical cross-sectional study, a total of 218 dentists from Lorestan province were selected through stratified sampling and investigated. Data were obtained using a checklist that included demographic and technical questions. Data were analyzed using SPSS software at a significance level of P < 0.05.

Results: Among the participants, 120 dentists were male and 98 were female. In total, 101 dentists (46.3%) had experienced needle stick injuries, 50 (22.9%) had broken vial injuries, 77 (35.3%) experienced splashing of discharge into the eye, 13 (6%) had bistoury-caused injuries, 60 (27.5%) experienced splashing of discharge into the mucous membrane, and 59 (27.1%) had surgical suture injuries. There was a statistical relationship between age, working experience, and work shift with the incidence of needle stick injuries (P < 0.05). However, there was no relationship between needle stick injuries and sex, level of education, or the service location of the dentist.

Conclusions: Given the high frequency of needle stick experiences among dentists, further studies are necessary to understand the behavioral and organizational factors involved in this issue and to implement preventive measures to reduce the incidence rate and adverse consequences of such accidents.

Keywords: Needle Stick, Dentists, Accidents, Lorestan Province

1. Background

In various healthcare professions, any form of skin piercing by needles or sharp objects, either accidental or during medical and nursing interventions, is considered a needle stick. If an individual comes into contact with an infected needle or sharp object at the time of the needle stick, they may be exposed to serious health issues, including contagious diseases. Splashes of infectious discharges from patients into the eye, open

wounds, and exposed mucous membranes may also cause infections to enter individuals' bodies (1).

According to the World Health Organization, each year, about three million individuals out of the 35 million healthcare workers experience needle sticks (2). Different groups of healthcare workers, including dentists, are exposed to needle stick injuries. The frequency of needle sticks in Middle Eastern countries is about 50 percent. For instance, the frequency of needle stick injuries in Pakistan and Turkey is 45% and 46.8%,

¹ Department of Endodontics, Razi Herbal Medicines Research Center, School of Dentistry, Lorestan University of Medical Sciences, Khorramabad, Iran

² Department of Occupational Health, Environmental Health Research Center, School of Health and Nutrition, Lorestan University of Medical Sciences, Khorramabad, Iran

³ Department of Occupational Health, School of Health and Nutrition, Lorestan University of Medical Sciences, Khorramabad, Iran

⁴ Lorestan University of Medical Sciences, Khorramabad, Iran

^{*}Corresponding Author: Department of Occupational Health, Environmental Health Research Center, School of Health and Nutrition, Lorestan University of Medical Sciences, Khorramabad, Iran. Email: rashidi.r270@gmail.com

respectively, which is mostly due to high work pressure (3). In our country, the needle-stick frequency in Khorram Abad, Astara, and Kurdistan was reported as 53%, 67%, and 58%, respectively, primarily due to night work shifts and lack of patient education (3).

Despite significant advances in medical science, dangerous diseases, including Hepatitis C virus (HCV), Hepatitis B virus (HBV), and Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), remain serious risks for healthcare workers, as various reports indicate that 90 percent of needle stick-caused injuries occur in developed countries (3, 4). According to a study conducted by Baig et al., which aimed to investigate the knowledge and attitude of dental professionals, students, and other related staff towards needle sticks and sharp object injuries, 97% of the participants were aware of needle sticks and their dangers, and 72% of them had experienced needle stick injuries (5).

A study conducted in 2022 at Johannesburg University (South Africa) reported a 47.2% needle stick frequency among dentists, with 35% occurring when swapping the anesthesia ampoule and 29% when recapping the needle (6). Results of a 2021 study in Saudi Arabia estimating the annual incidence rate of needle sticks and its related factors conducted on 361 healthcare workers reported a 22.2% annual rate, with dentists accounting for 29.2% of the cases (7). The results of Anbari et al. indicated that 84.4% of dentists had experienced a needle stick at least once, with needles being the most common factor (20%) (8). Researchers in Croatia investigated the frequency of occupational injuries among dentists and reported needle sticks (57.5%) and cuts (20.8%) as the most common injuries (9). Results of Bhardwaj et al. in Malaysia reported that needle stick injuries were more frequent in male individuals (10). Gholizadgougjehyaran et al. found that the frequency of needle stick injuries in nurses decreased with an increase in education level (11). Rashidi et al. reported that the frequency of needle sticks among nurses who work in hospitals was 53% and demonstrated a statistically significant correlation between work shifts, work experience, and the service ward and the occurrence of needle sticks among the nurses (3).

A study by Ramzani et al. on active nurses in Sari City hospitals reported a 38% frequency of needle sticks. In this study, needle sticks had the highest frequency among sharp object injuries, believed to be due to high work pressure (12). According to the results of a study in Sina Hospital of Mashhad city, the level of attention to preventing injuries increased with healthcare workers' higher ages and working experience. Regarding the type of incident, needle sticks accounted for 25 percent of the sharp object injuries (13).

2. Objectives

Considering the findings obtained from the studies mentioned above and the high frequency of needle sticks among healthcare workers, especially dentists, and since the cause of such occupational accidents among dentists has been neglected, the present study investigated the frequency and causes of needle stick and sharp object injuries among the dentists of Lorestan province, west of Iran.

3. Methods

The present study was approved by the ethics committee of Lorestan University of Medical Sciences (IR.LUMS.REC.1402.389). This analytical cross-sectional study included all active dentists in Lorestan province, west of Iran, who met the designated conditions and inclusion criteria in 2023. According to a similar study that reported a prevalence rate of 57.7 percent (3), the sample size was calculated as 260 people based on the above prevalence rate, $\alpha = 0.05$, and an accuracy of 6 percent. Employment as a dentist and being unwilling to participate in the study were considered inclusion and exclusion criteria, respectively.

A total of 218 dentists were selected from 11 cities in Lorestan province using stratified proportional allocation sampling. Each city in Lorestan province was considered a subgroup, and simple random sampling was conducted from each city according to the number of active dentists in each city. The data collection tool included a checklist with two primary sections. The first section contained six demographic questions regarding age, sex, work experience, work shift, type of specialty, and the dentist's office type. The second section included five specialized questions about the type of injury, needle sticks, and several related questions following each specialized question. This checklist has been validated in the study by Rashidi et al. (3).

The checklists were completed by the researcher visiting dental offices and using observation and interview methods. After collecting the required information, descriptive statistics, including calculating

central and dispersion indices for quantitative variables and frequency and percentage for qualitative variables, were used to describe the data. Chi-square tests were used to examine the relationship between the desired variables. All statistical tests were performed using SPSS version 25 software, and P < 0.05 was considered the significance level.

4. Results

Out of the 218 samples in the present study, 120 participants were male, and 98 were female. Of these, 46 (21.1%) were aged less than 30, 105 (48.2%) were between 30 and 40, and 67 (30.7%) were older than 40. The minimum age of the dentists was 25, and the maximum was 57 years, with a mean and standard deviation of 35.85 ± 6.95 years. A total of 202 (97.7%) dentists had experienced needle sticks, while 16 (7.3%) had not. Table 1 presents additional demographic information.

Results indicate that needle sticks, splashes in the eye, and broken vials, with frequencies of 101 (46.3%), 77 (35.3%), and 50 (22.9%), respectively, were the most common types of sharp object injuries (Table 2).

Investigation of needle stick causes indicated that all the dentists were aware of the dangers of needle sticks, and none of them cited lack of knowledge as the cause of the needle stick incidents (Table 3).

The present study revealed that 38 individuals (18.8%) who had needle stick experience were aged less than 30, and 67 (33.2%) were over 40. The chi-squared test indicated a significant relationship between age and needle stick experience (P = 0.002) (Table 4).

Results showed that among those with needle stick experience, 51 (25.2%) were active in morning shifts, 76 (37.6%) in evening shifts, and 75 (37.1%) were active in both morning and evening shifts. The chi-squared test indicated a significant relationship between work shift and needle stick experience (P = 0.038) (Table 5).

The present study indicated that among those with needle stick experience, 49 (24.3%) had less than five years of work experience, 83 (41.1%) had between 5 and 10 years, 60 (29.7%) had between 10 and 15 years, and 10 (5%) had more than 15 years of work experience. The chi-squared test indicated a significant relationship between work experience and needle stick experience (P = 0.001) (Table 6).

Regarding the condition of the dentists' protective equipment against needle sticks within the service

organization, the chi-squared test indicated a statistically significant relationship between the type of organization where the dentists were active and the lack of protective equipment (P < 0.001) (Table 7).

The findings of the present study indicated that there was no statistically significant relationship between other variables, including sex, level of education, dentist's service organization, and the frequency of needle sticks.

5. Discussion

Needle sticks in hospital environments and healthcare centers are incidents that can impose significant mental and financial burdens on individuals and society. Despite various causes of needle sticks, including excessive and unnecessary injections, lack of appropriate equipment and disposable syringes, absence of sharp object-exclusive containers, and inadequate training of healthcare workers, the risk factors of needle sticks are still not fully understood, as needle sticks remain a persistent health threat to healthcare providers (14).

The present study indicated that 202 (92.7%) of the 218 participating dentists had experienced needle sticks or contact with patients' body discharges. This frequency was higher than in other studies conducted in this field (15-18). Gholami et al. reported a frequency of 39% in Urmia (19). The study conducted by Askarian in Fars province revealed a 49% frequency (20). According to Farzin Ebrahimi et al., 80% of dentists have experienced at least one needle stick injury (21). According to a study by Shiva et al., more than 50% of dentists had poor knowledge about hepatitis B and the consequences of needle stick injuries (22).

The differences in the number of needle stick cases in various studies may be attributed to the definition and understanding of researchers regarding needle sticks in their studies and the duration of the studies. In some studies, every injury caused by sharp objects, such as needles and other sharp tools, and even splashes of patient discharges into the eye and mucous membrane, were considered needle sticks. However, in others, only cases involving injection needles were considered needle sticks. In the present study, 101 (46.3%) participants experienced needle stick accidents by injection needles, 50 (22.9%) by broken vials, 77 (35.3%) by splashes of body discharges into the eyes, 13 (6%) by bistoury, 60 (27.5%) by splashes of body discharges into

Variables	No. (%)
Needle experience	
No	16 (7.3)
Yes	202 (92.7)
Gender	
Female	98 (45)
Male	120 (55)
Age	
<30	46 (21.1)
30 - 40	105 (48.2)
>40	67 (30.7)
Level of education	
General physician	203 (93.1)
Specialist	15 (6.9)
Working shift	
Morning	58 (26.6)
Evening	84 (38.5)
Morning and evening	76 (34.9)
Work experience (y)	
<5	60 (27.5)
5-10	88 (40.4)
10 -15	60 (27.5)
>15	10 (4.6)
Place of service	
Public facility	84 (38.5)
Private sector	69 (31.7)
Public and private	65 (29.8)

Needle Stick Type	No.(%)
Needle	101 (46.3)
Splash in the eye	77 (35.3)
Mucous membrane exposure	60 (27.5)
Surgical suture	59 (27.1)
Broken vial	50 (22.9)
Bistoury	13 (6)

Table 3. Frequency Distribution of Participating Dentists According to the Cause of Needle Stick		
Cause of Needle Stick Incidence	No.(%)	
High work pressure	145 (66.5)	
Inattention	98 (45)	
Lack of protective equipment	37 (17)	
Lack of knowledge	0(0)	

the mucous membrane, and 59 (27.1%) by surgical suture.

In Farzin Ebrahimi et al., the most common causes of needle stick injuries in dentists included dental burrs

Variable	Needle	Needle Experience	
	No	Yes	P-Value
ge (y)			0.002
<30	8 (50)	38 (18.8)	
30 - 40	8 (50)	97(48)	
>40	0 (0)	67 (33.2)	
Total	16 (100)	202 (100)	

^a Values are expressed as No. (%).

Table 5. Determining the Relationship Between Needle Stick Experience and Work Shift of the Studied Dentists ^a

Variable	Needle	Needle Experience	
ariable	No	Yes	— P-Value
Vork Shift			0.038
Morning	7 (43.8)	51 (25.2)	
Evening	8 (50)	76 (37.6)	
Morning and evening	1(6.3)	75 (37.1)	
Total	16 (100)	202 (100)	

^a Values are expressed as No. (%).

 $\textbf{Table 6.} \ Determining the Relationship \ Between the \ Needle Stick \ Experience \ and \ Work \ Experience \ Among \ the \ Studied \ Dentists \ ^a$

Variable	Needle Experience		– P-Value
	No	Yes	- r-value
Work experience (y)			0.001
< 5	11 (68.8)	49 (24.3)	
5 - 10	5 (31.3)	83 (41.1)	
10 - 15	0(0)	60 (29.7)	
> 15	0 (0)	10 (5)	
Total	16 (100)	202 (100)	

^a Values are expressed as No. (%).

(33.9%), needles (19.6%), orthodontic archwire (10.7%), dental elevator (8.9%), and matrix holders (5.4%). Among dental assistants, washing, picking up, or placing the tools on the tray (44.4%), needle (27.8%), and dental burr (16.7%) were the primary causes (21). Hashemipour and Sadeghi's investigation of the frequency of needle stick injuries among medical and dental students at Kerman University of Medical Sciences demonstrated that dental students in the endodontics, surgery, and periodontics groups had the highest frequency of accidents (23).

Examination of needle stick incident causes in the present study revealed that all dentists were aware of

the dangers of needle sticks, and none of them mentioned lack of knowledge as the cause of needle sticks. Instead, 98 dentists (45%) mentioned inattention during work, 145 (66.5%) cited high work pressure, and 37 (17%) stated lack of protective equipment as the cause of needle stick accidents. Other studies have also cited high work pressure and unsafe equipment as causes of accidents (24, 25).

When investigating the reason for not using protective equipment by dentists, 7 (3.2%) mentioned lack of adequate training, 96 (44%) cited high work pressure, 112 (51.4%) mentioned the necessity of working

Table 7. Determining the Relationship Between the Lack of Protective Equipment and the Organization ^a Lack of Protective Equipment Variable P-Value No Yes Organization 0.001 Public 19 (22.6) 65 (77.4) 0(0)Private 69 (100) Public and private 47 (72.3) 18 (27.7) Total 181 (100) 37 (100)

fast, and 71 (32.6%) stated lack of supervision as reasons for not utilizing protective equipment. This study revealed that among those who had experienced needle sticks, 51 (25.2%) were active in morning shifts, 76 (37.6%) in evening shifts, and 75 (37.1%) in both morning and evening shifts. The chi-squared test indicated a significant relationship between work shift and needle stick experience, as those who worked evening shifts or both morning and evening shifts had higher frequencies of needle stick incidents.

The results of Ghasemi et al. (as cited by Garavand et al.) showed that in both groups of hospital workers and nurses, most needle stick incidents occurred during morning shifts (26). The high frequency of needle stick injuries on the morning shift can be attributed to the high number of accepted surgery candidates and the high workload for nurses during morning shifts. However, as mentioned earlier, most needle stick injuries in the present study occurred during evening shifts and continuous morning and evening shifts. The inconsistency of the results may be due to the different nature of dentists' work compared with other medical professions and how they utilize sharp tools.

The present study indicated that among those who had needle stick experience, 49 individuals (24.3%) had less than five years of work experience, 83 (41.1%) had between five and ten years, 60 (29.7%) had between 10 and 15 years, and 10 (5%) had more than 15 years of work experience. The chi-square test showed a significant difference between work experience and needle stick experience, as the risk of needle sticks increased with the increase in work experience of the dentist. Despite the fact that longer work experience can enhance working skills, some investigations on occupational accidents have shown that because of pride and overconfidence in work experience, individuals with

longer work experience neglected work safety and consequently suffered occupational injuries (27, 28).

The present study indicated that none of the individuals active in private clinics stated lack of protective equipment as the cause of needle stick accidents, while 19 (22.6%) of those who worked in public organizations mentioned lack of protective equipment as a cause for needle stick incidence. This demonstrates that the weakness in providing protective equipment in the public sector is among the factors of occupational contamination in dental clinics.

5.1. Conclusions

Considering the results of the present study and the high rate of needle sticks among healthcare workers, especially dentists, and due to the adverse consequences of such incidents, further studies are necessary to understand the behavioral and organizational factors involved in injuries caused by sharp objects and their dangerous outcomes. Research should focus on prevention strategies, reporting protocols, post-exposure treatments, and necessary education. In addition to medical measures, healthcare system officials must establish centers for prevention and control of injuries, regularly register incidents, and take appropriate follow-up actions.

Acknowledgements

We would like to express our gratitude to the vicechancellor of research and technology, the vicechancellor of treatment, and the Faculty of Dentistry of Lorestan University of Medical Sciences for their assistance and cooperation in conducting this study, as well as to all those who helped in this field.

^a Values are expressed as No. (%).

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: All authors contributed to this research and read and approved the final version.

Conflict of Interests Statement: No competing interests was found in this study.

Data Availability: The dataset presented in the study is available on request from the corresponding author during submission or after its publication.

Ethical Approval: This study received ethical approval from the Ethics Committee of Lorestan University of Medical Sciences (IR.LUMS.REC.1402.389).

Funding/Support: This study receive funding from Lorestan University of Medical Sciences.

References

- Goel V, Kumar D, Lingaiah R, Singh S. Occurrence of Needlestick and Injuries among Health-care Workers of a Tertiary Care Teaching Hospital in North India. J Lab Physicians. 2017;9(1):20-5. [PubMed ID: 28042212]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5015493]. https://doi.org/10.4103/0974-2727.187917.
- Mersal NA, Sangoof FM, Munshi SS, Fadul SS, Almuslami OK. Awareness and Prevalence of Needle Stick Injury among Nursing Students at King Abdulaziz University. Evidence-Based Nurs Res. 2022;5(1):14-23. https://doi.org/10.47104/ebnrojs3.v5i1.266.
- Rashidi R, Khoshnamvand M, Mohammadi R, Anbari K. [The frequency and causes of occupational injuries caused by Needle Stick among nurses in educational hospitals in Khorramabad in 2020]. Sci Magazine Yafte. 2021;22(4):107-19. FA.
- 4. Gita N, Rao NP. Needle stick injuries in a tertiary care hospital in India: observations from a clinical audit. *Int J Res Med Sci.* 2017;**5**(7). https://doi.org/10.18203/2320-6012.ijrms20172593.
- Baig FJ, Qazi H, Nadeem M, Islam S, Habib E, Aliuddin AM. Knowledge and Attitude of Dental Professionals, Students and Dental Auxiliary Staff Towards Needle Stick Injury and Sharp Injuries. J Pakistan Dent Assoc. 2023;32(1):17-21. https://doi.org/10.25301/jpda.321.17.
- Naidoo D. Prevalence and Associated Management Approaches of Needle-stick Injuries amongst Public Sector Dental Practitioners, in Johannesburg, South Africa. University of Johannesburg (South Africa); 2022.
- Abalkhail A, Kabir R, Elmosaad YM, Alwashmi AS, Alhumaydhi FA, Alslamah T, et al. Needle-Stick and Sharp Injuries among Hospital Healthcare Workers in Saudi Arabia: A Cross-Sectional Survey. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19(10). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19106342.
- 8. Anbari F, Hadadi Madiseh P, Cheraghi Lohe Sara M, Afraz ES. Research Paper: Evaluation of Frequency, Knowledge and Practice of Needle Stick Injuries among Dentists in Iran. *J Dentomaxillofacial*. 2020;9(2):19-23. https://doi.org/10.52547/3dj.9.2.19.

Savic Pavicin I, Lovric Z, Zymber Ceshko A, Vodanovic M.
Occupational Injuries among Dentists in Croatia. *Acta Stomatol Croat.* 2020;54(1):51-9. [PubMed ID: 32523157]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7233126]. https://doi.org/10.15644/asc54/t/6.

- Bhardwaj A, Sivapathasundaram N, Yusof M, Minghat A, Swe K, Sinha N. The Prevalence of Accidental Needle Stick Injury and their Reporting among Healthcare Workers in Orthopaedic Wards in General Hospital Melaka, Malaysia. *Malays Orthop J.* 2014;8(2):6-13. [PubMed ID: 25279086]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4181076]. https://doi.org/10.5704/MOJ.1407.009.
- Gholizadgougjehyaran H, Habibzadeh H, Motaarefi H. [The effect of staff performance skills training based on the self-awareness and empowerment models on the rate of exposure to sharp objects and patients' secretions among emergency nurses]. Nurs Midwifery J. 2020;18(8):672-9. FA.
- Ramzani S, Etemadinezhad S, Yazdani Charati J. Evaluation of the prevalence of needlestick injuries and related factors among nurses in Sari during 2017. J Health Res Commun. 2018;4(3):34-41.
- Tagvi R, Tavakoli Tabasi K, Mohammadi S, Kar K. [Frequency of occupational injuries caused by needle stick among employees of Sina hospital in Mashhad in 2013]. Neyshabur J Med Sci. 2015;2(5):22-8.
- 14. Nikkhah T, Khosravi A, Ebrahimi H. Investigating the Effective Factors on the Needle Stick Injuries and Personnel's Approach in Bahar Medical Education Center in Shahroud During 2009-2018: Needle stick injuries and personnel's approach. Int J Health Stud. 2020;6(3).
- Faris Ahmed S, Kareem Shakor J, Rahmawati Hamedon T, Fatel Jalal DM, Omer Qadir D. Prevalence of Needle Stick and Sharp Injuries Among Surgical Specialist Hospital-Cardiac Center in Erbil City: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Tabari Biomed Stu Res J.* 2020;2(2):47-38.
- Jahangiri M, Rostamabadi A, Hoboubi N, Tadayon N, Soleimani A. Needle Stick Injuries and their Related Safety Measures among Nurses in a University Hospital, Shiraz, Iran. Saf Health Work. 2016;7(1):72-7. [PubMed ID: 27014494]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4792920]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.shaw.2015.07.006.
- Sabaa MA, Hassan AM, Abd-Alla AK, Hegazy EE, Amer WH. Needle-stick and sharps injuries: awareness, prevalence and risk factors of a global problem in healthcare workers at Tanta University Hospitals, Egypt. Int J Occup Saf Ergon. 2022;28(3):1419-29. [PubMed ID: 33704007]. https://doi.org/10.1080/10803548.2021.1901445.
- Cheng HC, Su CY, Yen AM, Huang CF. Factors affecting occupational exposure to needlestick and sharps injuries among dentists in Taiwan: a nationwide survey. PLoS One. 2012;7(4). e34911. [PubMed ID: 22509367]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC3318009]. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0034911.
- Gholami A, Salari Lak S, Alinia T, Rahimnejad R. [Investigation of the prevalence and factors related to the injuries caused by needles and sharp objects among the employees of Urmia educational and therapeutic centers]. Iran J Epidemiol. 2017;6(3). FA.
- 20. Askarian M, Assadian O. Infection control practices among dental professionals in Shiraz Dentistry School, Iran. *Arch Iran Med.* 2009;12(1):48-51. [PubMed ID: 19111029].
- Farzin Ebrahimi S, Shadman N, Ghaempanah I. Needlestick injuries in dentists and their assistants in Kerman, Iran: Prevalence, knowledge, and practice. J Oral Health Oral Epidemiol. 2013;2(1):23-7.
- 22. Shiva A, Soltanalipour S, Haghshenas MR, Yazdani Cherati J, Namdar P. [Awareness of Dental Specialists and General Dentists about Hepatitis B in Sari, Iran 2018]. *J Mazandaran Univ Med Sci.* 2021;31(196):162-7. FA.

- 23. Hashemipour M, Sadeghi A. Needlestick injuries among medical and dental students at the University of Kerman. A questionnaire study. *Frontiers Dent.* 2008;5(2):71-6.
- 24. Rashidi R. Anthropometric Dimensions of Lor Students for the Ergonomic Design of Khorramabad School Equipment, Iran (2018). *J Occupational Health Epidemiol*. 2021;10(2):67-74. https://doi.org/10.52547/johe.10.2.67.
- 25. Elmi S, Babaie J, Malek M, Motazedi Z, Shahsavarinia K. Occupational exposures to needle stick injuries among health care staff; a review study. *J Analytical Res Clin Med.* 2018;**6**(1):1-6. https://doi.org/10.15171/jarcm.2018.001.
- Garavand S, Sedighyzade S, Mahdavi M, Esmaeilzadeh A, Rashidi R. Investigating the Relationship Between Occupational Stress and Demographic Variables in Dentists. Middle East J Rehabil Health Stud. 2024;11(3). https://doi.org/10.5812/mejrh-141543.
- Rashidi R, Baharvand P. Injuries Caused by Occupational Accidents in Patients Admitted to Teaching Hospitals of Lorestan University of Medical Sciences, Iran (2016-2021). J Occup Health Epidemiol. 2023;12(1):28-33. https://doi.org/10.61186/johe.12.1.28.
- Rashidi R. [Survey of occupational accident in Lorestan]. Yafteh. 2003;4(2):17-21. FA.