Published Online: 2025 April 27

**Research Article** 



# Enhancing Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation: A Hemodynamic Approach Using Doppler Ultrasound and Echocardiography

Seyed Mohsen Afkhami<sup>1,\*</sup>, Mahdi Arzani Shams Abadi<sup>1</sup>, Mohammad Reza Azimi Aval<sup>1</sup>, Ali Omrani Nava<sup>1</sup>, Maryam Masaeli<sup>1</sup>, Ebrahim Karimi<sup>1</sup>, Saman Solaimanian <sup>1</sup> <sup>2</sup>, Mohammad Hossein Salimi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Medicine, AJA University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Civil Engineering, K. N. Toosi University of Technology, Tehran, Iran

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author: Faculty of Medicine, AJA University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. Email: seyedmohsenafkhami@gmail.com

Received: 4 February, 2025; Revised: 13 April, 2025; Accepted: 17 April, 2025

## Abstract

**Background:** Effective cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) is critical for survival after cardiac arrest. Traditional methods of assessing CPR effectiveness, such as pulse palpation, have limitations. This study investigated the utility of Doppler ultrasound and echocardiography for real-time hemodynamic assessment during CPR.

**Objectives:** The objectives of this research were to evaluate the effectiveness of CPR using Doppler ultrasound and echocardiography and to correlate these findings with patient demographics, presenting symptoms, medical history, and resuscitation outcomes.

**Methods:** This one-year descriptive study enrolled 100 adult patients requiring CPR in the emergency department of Be'sat Nahaja Hospital. Data collected included patient demographics, presenting complaints, medical history, vital signs, and hemodynamic parameters [peak systolic velocity (PSV), pulse peak point, and ejection fraction (EF)] obtained via Doppler ultrasound and echocardiography during CPR. Statistical analysis, including repeated measures two-way ANOVA, was performed to assess the relationship between these variables and resuscitation outcomes [return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) and survival to hospital admission].

**Results:** The mean age of patients was 63.46 years, with 68% being male and 70% experiencing out-of-hospital cardiac arrests. Return of spontaneous circulation was achieved in 22% of cases, and survival to hospital admission was 17%. Hemodynamic parameters, including PSV, pulse peak point, and EF, varied significantly across CPR phases. Successful cases showed higher values during active cardiac massage (e.g., mean PSV of 75.3 cm/s in successful cases vs. 11.8 cm/s in unsuccessful cases). A fourphase classification of cardiac activity was proposed based on these parameters, ranging from "No Effective Cardiac Activity" (PSV/pulse peak point/EF  $\leq$  10 cm/s) to "Effective Cardiac Activity" (> 40 cm/s).

**Conclusions:** Doppler ultrasound and echocardiography provide valuable real-time hemodynamic assessment during CPR, offering objective measures of cardiac function and blood flow that correlate with resuscitation outcomes. These technologies can aid in the evaluation of CPR effectiveness.

Keywords: Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation, CPR, Doppler Ultrasound, Echocardiography

# 1. Background

Effective cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) is a cornerstone of cardiac arrest intervention (1). Traditional central pulse assessment has limitations, particularly in the early stages (2). Pulseless electrical activity can render continued conventional CPR futile, requiring more accurate assessment methods (3). While

end-tidal CO<sub>2</sub> monitoring is available, its use is inconsistent. Echocardiography and Doppler ultrasound provide enhanced, real-time cardiac function assessment during CPR, facilitating better decisions on resuscitation termination (4). Nonetheless, accurate identification of successful resuscitation and optimal termination time remains a challenge. Be'sat Nahaja Hospital, the site of this study, frequently

Copyright © 2025, Annals of Military and Health Sciences Research. This open-access article is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 (CC BY-NC 4.0) International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which allows for the copying and redistribution of the material only for noncommercial purposes, provided that the original work is properly cited.

How to Cite: Afkhami S M, Arzani Shams Abadi M, Azimi Aval M R, Omrani Nava A, Masaeli M , et al. Enhancing Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation: A Hemodynamic Approach Using Doppler Ultrasound and Echocardiography. Ann Mil Health Sci Res. 2025; 23 (2): e160160. https://doi.org/10.5812/amh-160160.

encounters a surge of critically ill patients requiring CPR within its emergency department. The inherent constraints of time, limited medication availability, and facility resources can impede efficient patient prioritization. Therefore, this study endeavors to develop a scientifically rigorous methodology for CPR assessment utilizing femoral artery color Doppler ultrasound and echocardiography. The data derived from these techniques are intended to provide objective, quantifiable measures of hemodynamic response, potentially serving as a valuable legal record of CPR procedures conducted.

## 1.2. Literature Review

Cardiac arrest severely reduces cardiac output, depriving vital organs of oxygen (5). The brain is highly vulnerable; loss of cerebral blood flow depletes ATP, and anaerobic metabolism leads to neuronal death (6). Other organs, including the heart, kidneys, and lungs, are also affected. Electrical disturbances, such as ventricular fibrillation, disrupt cardiac output (7, 8). The CPR aims to sustain blood flow and oxygen delivery until interventions like defibrillation can restore return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) (9). Hemodynamic assessment is crucial for optimizing blood flow during resuscitation (10).

Traditional methods like pulse checks are unreliable early in CPR. Arterial blood pressure and central venous pressure monitoring also have limitations (11, 12). Perfusion indices offer insights into tissue oxygenation (13), but pulse oximetry can be affected by lung disease. These limitations necessitate comprehensive, real-time assessment tools like Doppler ultrasound and echocardiography. Effective CPR requires a balance between chest compressions and organ perfusion. Manual pulse palpation is unreliable and interrupts compressions (14, 15).

Doppler ultrasound offers non-invasive blood flow measurement, and educational interventions can improve pulse check efficiency (16, 17). Doppler ultrasound is more accurate than palpation and enables dynamic evaluation of CPR effectiveness. Integrating Doppler ultrasound requires careful evaluation to minimize compression disruptions. Research should aim to balance accurate pulse assessment with uninterrupted compressions (18).

## 2. Methods

This one-year descriptive study evaluated CPR effectiveness in adult patients requiring CPR in the emergency department. The study population

comprised adults experiencing cardiac arrest, clinically presenting as pulselessness and apnea, and requiring CPR. All adults admitted to the Be'sat Nahaja Hospital Emergency Department requiring CPR constituted the sampling frame. Participants were selected using random sampling. The study was conducted in the Be'sat Nahaja Hospital Emergency Department, chosen for its high volume of cardiac arrest cases and standardized CPR protocols. This study was approved under the ethical approval code IR.AJAUMS.REC.1403.028.

Data collection during resuscitation included continuous physiological monitoring of vital signs, color Doppler ultrasound of femoral artery blood flow, and electronic medical record review. Specialists were available for each step. Color Doppler sonography and echocardiography were positioned to avoid interference with CPR. Statistical analysis, using repeated measures two-way ANOVA, assessed the effectiveness of color Doppler ultrasound and its association with outcomes.

The results indicated a statistically significant difference (P < 0.05), confirming the validity and meaningfulness of the collected data. Physiological variables included heart rate (bpm), vascular blood flow (Doppler ultrasound), peak systolic velocity (PSV, cm/s), and ejection fraction (EF, %).

## 3. Results

## 3.1. Patient Demographics

The demographics of the 100 patients showed a mean age of 63.46 years (range: 2 - 95 years), with 68% being male. Most arrests were out-of-hospital (70%), while 30% occurred in-hospital. Triage level 1 comprised 52% of patients. The ROSC rate was 22%, and survival to hospital admission was 17%. These findings highlight the challenges of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest.

## 3.2. Research Findings

#### 3.2.1. Vital Signs

Vital signs (blood pressure, heart rate, respiratory rate, body temperature, and oxygen saturation) were examined to assess patient status during and after cardiac arrest and CPR. The measurements showed wide ranges: Blood pressure (including substantial hypotension), heart rate (including bradycardia and tachycardia), and respiratory rate (including tachypnea and bradypnea) all varied considerably. Body temperature was mostly between 36 - 37°C, with some instances of hypothermia and hyperthermia. Oxygen

/ital Signs	Unsuccessful	Successful	P-Value
systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	$73.9\pm2.4$	$58.8\pm0.8$	0.005 <sup>b</sup>
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	$109.7 \pm 3.1$	$98.4\pm8.5$	0.225
Heart rate (n)	$80.4 \pm 2.7$	$79.1 \pm 4.1$	0629
Breathing rate (n)	$16.3 \pm 0.6$	$15.5 \pm 1.7$	0.629
Body temperature (°C)	$36.2 \pm 0.1$	$36.1 \pm 0.2$	0.900
Dxygen saturation (%)	$83.8 \pm 1.4$	$83.5 \pm 3$	0.937

saturation also varied, with some patients exhibiting low values.

Table 1 shows averages for successful and unsuccessful cases using the two-way repeated measures ANOVA method. Diastolic blood pressure was the only parameter with a statistically significant difference between successful and unsuccessful resuscitation attempts.

#### 3.2.2. Patient Complaint Data

Patient complaint data showed that cardiorespiratory arrest and heart problems were the most frequent (37%), followed by decreased level of consciousness. Other common complaints included weakness, lethargy, chest pain, and multiple traumas; some patients presented without vital signs. These findings can help healthcare providers identify at-risk individuals and initiate timely interventions.

Triage level analysis revealed variations: Level 1 complaints were mainly cardiorespiratory arrest and decreased level of consciousness; Level 2 complaints commonly included decreased level of consciousness, weakness, lethargy, chest pain, and multiple traumas; Level 3 complaints frequently included weakness, lethargy, chest pain, and shortness of breath, in addition to decreased level of consciousness and heart-related issues.

#### 3.2.3 Patient Medical History

Patient medical history data indicated that a substantial portion of the study population (56%) had no documented pre-existing medical history. Heart problems were the most prevalent condition (18%) among those with known medical conditions. Triage level analysis revealed that a significant proportion of patients in Level 1 and Level 2 triage had no known medical history, with heart problems being the most common pre-existing condition. While conclusions for

Level 3 are limited by the small sample size, a considerable proportion also had no known medical history.

3.3. Data from Color Doppler Ultrasound and Echocardiography

Color Doppler ultrasound and echocardiography data, including PSV, pulse peak point, and EF, were analyzed across three CPR phases: During cardiac massage, the transition phase, and the cardiac massage stop phase. Table 2 presents the average values for these parameters along with the corresponding P-values.

A repeated measures two-way ANOVA was used to analyze the Table 2 data. This method examines changes in hemodynamic parameters (PSV, pulse peak point, and EF) across CPR phases and compares successful and unsuccessful resuscitation outcomes. P-values indicate statistical significance.

During active cardiac massage, successful cases had significantly higher PSV (75.3  $\pm$  7.3 cm/s vs. 11.8  $\pm$  0.7 cm/s), pulse peak point (98.5  $\pm$  6.3 cm/s vs. 16.2  $\pm$  0.1 cm/s), and EF (48.1  $\pm$  3.5% vs. 16.5  $\pm$  0.5%) (P < 0.001), indicating better blood flow and cardiac function.

The transition phase, from active cardiac massage to cessation of compressions, also showed significant differences in PSV and pulse peak point between successful and unsuccessful cases. Successful cases had higher PSV ( $51.4 \pm 1.8 \text{ cm/s vs. } 30.9 \pm 1.7 \text{ cm/s}$ ) and pulse peak point ( $56.5 \pm 1.6 \text{ cm/s vs. } 34.5 \pm 1.2 \text{ cm/s}$ ) (P = 0.001 for PSV, P = 0.001 for pulse peak point), indicating a more pronounced surge in blood flow. The EF differences were not significant (P > 0.05).

In the cardiac massage stop phase, no significant differences were observed in PSV, pulse peak point, or EF values between successful and unsuccessful cases. The values for PSV (P = 0.92), pulse peak point (P = 0.45), and EF (P = 0.800) were not statistically significant, suggesting these parameters may not reliably indicate

Variables	During Cardiac Massage	The Transition Phase of Heart Massage to the Stop of Heart Massage	Cardiac Massage Stop Phase	P-Value		
PSV(cm/s)						
Unsuccessful	$11.9\pm1.1$	$30.9 \pm 1.7^{\text{ b}}$	$11.8\pm0.7^{b}$	0.000		
Successful	$11.7\pm1.8$	$51.4\pm1.8~^{\rm b}$	$75.3 \pm 7.3$ <sup>b</sup>	0.000		
P-value	0.925	0.000	0.000			
Peak pulse point (cm/s	3)					
Unsuccessful	$15.6\pm1.9$	$34.5 \pm 1.2$ b	$16.2 \pm 0.1^{b}$	0.000		
Successful	$12.6\pm1.8$	$56.5 \pm 1.6$ <sup>b</sup>	$98.5\pm6.3^{\rm \ b}$	0.000		
P-value	0.45	0.001	0.000			
EF (%)						
Unsuccessful	22.7±1.1	$33.6 \pm 0.9$ <sup>b</sup>	$16.5\pm0.5$ <sup>b</sup>	0.000		
Successful	$23.3\pm2.0$	44.6 ± 1.6 <sup>b</sup>	$48.1 \pm 3.5$ <sup>b</sup>	0.000		
P-value	0.800	0.000	0.000			

Abbreviations: PSV, peak systolic velocity; EF, ejection fraction.

 $^a$  Values are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD.

<sup>b</sup> Meaningful data.

resuscitation outcome at this point. This implies that while the initial hemodynamic response and the transition phase are critical, values at cessation of compressions might reflect hemodynamic equilibrium regardless of outcome.

#### 4. Discussion

This study correlated patient triage level with resuscitation outcomes. Level 1 patients (most critical, 52%) had lower ROSC (22%) and survival to admission (17%) rates than Level 2 patients (30% for both outcomes), reinforcing the importance of timely intervention. Successful CPR patients were younger (mean age: 52.58 years). The fact that 70% of successful resuscitations occurred out-of-hospital emphasizes the need for bystander CPR training. These demographics align with existing research (19, 20).

Vital sign analysis showed wide ranges, reflecting physiological instability. Blood pressure, heart rate, respiratory rate, and oxygen saturation varied. A twoway repeated measures ANOVA was used to analyze the data. Diastolic blood pressure was the only parameter with a statistically significant difference between successful and unsuccessful resuscitation attempts. Cardiorespiratory arrest and heart problems were the common presenting symptoms most (37%), emphasizing the need for rapid CPR. Level 1 patients frequently presented with these symptoms and decreased levels of consciousness. Level 2 patients presented with a wider range of complaints. Level 3

patients often presented with less critical symptoms. A substantial proportion of patients, particularly in Levels 1 and 2, had no known pre-existing medical conditions.

This study highlights color Doppler ultrasound and echocardiography as valuable tools for real-time hemodynamic assessment during CPR, providing objective measures of cardiac function and blood flow (21). During active cardiac massage, successful cases had significantly higher PSV, pulse peak point, and EF, indicating more effective blood flow and cardiac function. These higher values align with CPR goals, while lower values may reflect event severity or limitations in compression quality. The transition phase also revealed significant differences in PSV and pulse peak point between successful and unsuccessful cases, with successful cases showing higher values. The EF differences were not significant, which likely reflects an increased likelihood of ROSC as compressions are paused (22).

However, in the cardiac massage stop phase, no significant differences were observed in PSV, pulse peak point, or EF values between successful and unsuccessful cases, suggesting a potential hemodynamic equilibrium (23-25). The four-phase classification system proposed in this study, integrating PSV, pulse peak point, and EF data, offers a framework for evaluating cardiac activity during CPR: Phase 1 (no effective cardiac activity), phase 2 (ineffective cardiac activity), phase 3 (improved cardiac activity), and phase 4 (effective cardiac activity). This approach can aid clinicians in guiding interventions and optimizing resuscitation efforts (26).

Lower values, especially when resuscitation is unsuccessful, are related to damaged heart muscle and the reduction of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) (27, 28). Adenosine triphosphate is the primary energy carrier in cells, essential for myocardial contraction and cellular function. Formed from glucose in the mitochondria, ATP typically converts to ADP (adenosine diphosphate) when energy is used. In oxygen-deprived cells, ADP further breaks down into AMP (adenosine monophosphate). If oxygen deficiency persists, AMP can degrade into adenosine, which rapidly exits the cell. This adenosine loss can prevent cell recovery because energy production becomes impossible. However, if circulation is restored before adenosine escapes, the reverse process can occur: Adenosine converts back to AMP, then to ADP, and finally to ATP, allowing the cell to survive. In cardiac arrest, the heart muscle is often compromised, leading to ATP depletion. Without sufficient ATP (due to ischemia and hypoxia), effective contraction is impaired, and blood flow and cardiac function (PSV, pulse peak point, EF) may be minimal, particularly in unsuccessful resuscitations.

This study demonstrates the value of color Doppler ultrasound and echocardiography as tools for assessing cardiac and circulatory status during and after CPR. These tools can aid in evaluating CPR effectiveness, diagnosing unsuccessful CPR, and potentially determining the time of death. These methods can serve evidence, particularly in cases where CPR as effectiveness is unclear. Based on the findings, recommendations are proposed to advance cardiac arrest management, including: Integrating hemodynamic monitoring; identifying predictors of CPR success; conducting larger studies; investigating predictive factors; developing predictive models; prioritizing quality of life for survivors; exploring costeffective strategies; identifying risk factors; refining resuscitation techniques; personalizing treatment; and focusing on long-term outcomes (29-31).

Additionally, this study's findings help explain why some patients do not recover from cardiac arrest. Delays in reaching the hospital for CPR are critical. If the time is prolonged, adenosine exits the cells, making cell recovery irreversible. Similarly, underlying conditions that cause heart or lung damage can lead to cellular oxygen deprivation. If adenosine leaves the cells as a result, the damage will also be irreversible.

## 4.1. Conclusions

In conclusion, this study demonstrates the potential of color Doppler ultrasound and echocardiography to

enhance CPR assessment by providing real-time hemodynamic information. The findings suggest that these technologies can offer valuable insights into cardiac function and blood flow during resuscitation, potentially aiding in clinical decision-making and improving patient outcomes. Further research is warranted to validate these findings and explore the integration of these technologies into routine CPR protocols.

# Footnotes

**Authors' Contribution:** S. M. A., M. A. S. A., E. K., M. S., M. R. A. A., A. O. N., S. S., and M. H. S. contributed equally to this research project.

**Conflict of Interests Statement:** The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest related to this research.

**Data Availability:** The dataset presented in the study is available on request from the corresponding author during submission or after publication. The data are not publicly available due to patient privacy and confidentiality regulations, as it contains sensitive medical information that could potentially identify individuals.

**Ethical Approval:** This study is approved under the ethical approval code of IR.AJAUMS.REC.1403.028.

**Funding/Support:** The authors declare that no funding or support was received for this research.

# References

- Rasmussen K. The practice of electroconvulsive therapy: recommendations for treatment, training, and privileging (second edition). J ECT. 2002;18(1):58-9. [PubMed ID: 11925524]. https://doi.org/10.1097/00124509-200203000-00015.
- Prudic J, Olfson M, Marcus SC, Fuller RB, Sackeim HA. Effectiveness of electroconvulsive therapy in community settings. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2004;55(3):301-12. [PubMed ID: 14744473]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2003.09.015.
- 3. Merchant RM, Topjian AA, Panchal AR, Cheng A, Aziz K, Berg KM, et al. Part 1: Executive Summary: 2020 American Heart Association Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care. *Circulation*. 2020;**142**(16\_suppl\_2). https://doi.org/10.1161/cir.000000000000918.
- Meaney PA, Bobrow BJ, Mancini ME, Christenson J, de Caen AR, Bhanji F, et al. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation quality: [corrected] improving cardiac resuscitation outcomes both inside and outside the hospital: a consensus statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2013;128(4):417-35. [PubMed ID: 23801105]. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0b013e31829d8654.
- 5. Oermann MH, Kardong-Edgren SE, Odom-Maryon T. Effects of monthly practice on nursing students' CPR psychomotor skill

performance. *Resuscitation*. 2011;**82**(4):447-53. [PubMed ID: 21227563]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2010.11.022.

- Vanden Hoek TL, Morrison LJ, Shuster M, Donnino M, Sinz E, Lavonas EJ, et al. Part 12: Cardiac Arrest in Special Situations. *Circulation*. 2010;**122**(18\_suppl\_3). https://doi.org/10.1161/circulationaha.110.971069.
- Sulhan S, Lyon KA, Shapiro LA, Huang JH. Neuroinflammation and blood-brain barrier disruption following traumatic brain injury: Pathophysiology and potential therapeutic targets. *J Neurosci Res.* 2020;**98**(1):19-28. [PubMed ID: 30259550]. [PubMed Central ID:
- PMC6437022]. https://doi.org/10.1002/jnr.24331.
  8. Rittenberger JC, Friess S, Polderman KH. Emergency Neurological Life Support: Resuscitation Following Cardiac Arrest. *Neurocrit Care*. 2015;23 Suppl 2:S119-28. [PubMed ID: 26438463]. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12028-015-017I-4.
- Panchal AR, Bartos JA, Cabañas JG, Donnino MW, Drennan IR, Hirsch KG, et al. Part 3: Adult Basic and Advanced Life Support: 2020 American Heart Association Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care. *Circulation*. 2020;**142**(16\_suppl\_2).
  - https://doi.org/10.1161/cir.000000000000916.
- Soar J, Nolan JP, Bottiger BW, Perkins GD, Lott C, Carli P, et al. European Resuscitation Council Guidelines for Resuscitation 2015: Section 3. Adult advanced life support. *Resuscitation*. 2015;**95**:100-47. [PubMed ID: 26477701]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2015.07.016.
- Chang AM, Litt HI, Snyder BS, Gatsonis C, Greco EM, Miller CD, et al. Impact of Coronary Computed Tomography Angiography Findings on Initiation of Cardioprotective Medications. *Circulation*. 2017;**136**(22):2195-7. [PubMed ID: 29180497]. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.029994.
- Olasveengen TM, de Caen AR, Mancini ME, Maconochie IK, Aickin R, Atkins DL, et al. 2017 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science With Treatment Recommendations Summary. *Circulation*. 2017;**136**(23):e424-40. [PubMed ID: 29114010]. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.000000000000541.
- Norris EM, Lockey AS. Human factors in resuscitation teaching. *Resuscitation*. 2012;83(4):423-7. [PubMed ID: 22120456]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2011.11.001.
- Field JM, Hazinski MF, Sayre MR, Chameides L, Schexnayder SM, Hemphill R, et al. Part 1: Executive Summary. *Circulation*. 2010;**122**(18\_suppl\_3). https://doi.org/10.1161/circulationaha.110.970889.
- Yilmaz G, Bol O. Comparison of femoral and carotid arteries in terms of pulse check in cardiopulmonary resuscitation: A prospective observational study. *Resuscitation*. 2021;**162**:56-62. [PubMed ID: 33582255]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2021.01.042.
- Cohen AL, Li T, Becker LB, Owens C, Singh N, Gold A, et al. Femoral artery Doppler ultrasound is more accurate than manual palpation for pulse detection in cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation*. 2022;**173**:156-65. [PubMed ID: 35131404]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2022.01.030.
- Gaspari RJ, Lindsay R, Dowd A, Gleeson T. Femoral Arterial Doppler Use During Active Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2023;81(5):523-31. [PubMed ID: 36754697]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annemergmed.2022.12.002.
- Zhao X, Wang S, Yuan W, Wu J, Li C. A new method to evaluate carotid blood flow by continuous Doppler monitoring during cardiopulmonary resuscitation in a porcine model of cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation*. 2024;**195**:110092. [PubMed ID: 38104797]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2023.110092.

- Katzenschlager S, Acworth J, Tiwari LK, Kleinmann M, Myburgh M, Castillo JD, et al. Pulse Check Accuracy in pediatrics during resuscitation: a systematic review. *Resuscitation Plus.* 2025;In Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resplu.2025.100959.
- Clattenburg EJ, Wroe P, Brown S, Gardner K, Losonczy L, Singh A, et al. Point-of-care ultrasound use in patients with cardiac arrest is associated prolonged cardiopulmonary resuscitation pauses: A prospective cohort study. *Resuscitation*. 2018;**122**:65-8. [PubMed ID: 29175356]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2017.11.056.
- Fried DA, Leary M, Smith DA, Sutton RM, Niles D, Herzberg DL, et al. The prevalence of chest compression leaning during in-hospital cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Resuscitation*. 2011;82(8):1019-24. [PubMed ID: 21482010]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC3686268]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2011.02.032.
- Perman SM, Elmer J, Maciel CB, Uzendu A, May T, Mumma BE, et al. 2023 American Heart Association Focused Update on Adult Advanced Cardiovascular Life Support: An Update to the American Heart Association Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care. Circulation. 2024;149(5):e254-73. [PubMed ID: 38108133]. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.000000000001194.
- Mauriello A, Marrazzo G, Del Vecchio GE, Ascrizzi A, Roma AS, Correra A, et al. Echocardiography in Cardiac Arrest: Incremental Diagnostic and Prognostic Role during Resuscitation Care. *Diagnostics (Basel)*. 2024;**14**(18). [PubMed ID: 39335786]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC11431641]. https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics14182107.
- 24. World Medical Association. World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. *JAMA*. 2013;**310**(20):2191-4. [PubMed ID: 24141714]. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2013.281053.
- Cheng A, Magid DJ, Auerbach M, Bhanji F, Bigham BL, Blewer AL, et al. Part 6: Resuscitation Education Science: 2020 American Heart Association Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care. *Circulation*. 2020;**142**(16\_suppl\_2). https://doi.org/10.1161/cir.000000000000903.
- 26. Topjian AA, Raymond TT, Atkins D, Chan M, Duff JP, Joyner BL, et al. Part 4: Pediatric Basic and Advanced Life Support: 2020 American Heart Association Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care. Circulation. 2020;142(16\_suppl\_2). https://doi.org/10.1161/cir.0000000000000001.
- Yamane D, McCarville P, Sullivan N, Kuhl E, Lanam CR, Payette C, et al. Minimizing Pulse Check Duration Through Educational Video Review. West J Emerg Med. 2020;21(6):276-83. [PubMed ID: 33207177]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7673890]. https://doi.org/10.5811/westjem.2020.8.47876.
- Graham CA, Lewis NF. Evaluation of a new method for the carotid pulse check in cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Resuscitation*. 2002;53(1):37-40. [PubMed ID: 11947977]. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0300-9572(01)00487-7.
- Fei M, Cai WW, Zhou SA. Characteristics and outcomes of out-ofhospital cardiac arrest in Zhejiang Province. World J Emerg Med. 2018;9(2):141-3. [PubMed ID: 29576828]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5847501]. https://doi.org/10.5847/wjem.j.1920-8642.2018.02.010.
- White RG, Hakim AJ, Salganik MJ, Spiller MW, Johnston LG, Kerr L, et al. Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology for respondent-driven sampling studies: "STROBE-RDS" statement. J Clin Epidemiol. 2015;68(12):1463-71. [PubMed ID: 26112433]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4669303]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2015.04.002.
- Kang H. Sample size determination and power analysis using the G\*Power software. J Educ Eval Health Prof. 2021;18:17. [PubMed ID: 34325496]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8441096]. https://doi.org/10.3352/jeehp.2021.18.17.